

## CORRIGENDUM-V

### PART-A (Reply to Bidders Queries)

Name of work: Empanelment of Detailed Design Consultants (DDC) for various Upcoming, Existing Projects and Works of Maha-Metro.

Tender no (Maha-Metro): M1Consul-02/2019 Dated: 04-02-2020.



Sr.No	Clause No.	As given in Empanelment Document	Suggestions/Clarifications	Reply
1	5.2.2.a_Pg#7_e-Tender Notice_Eligible Projects	<b>In case of JV / Consortium:</b> CATEGORY-I (a) At least Eight (8) similar consultancy work of elevated/underground Metro/At Grade /LRT Rail Stations combined, in Single contract with minimum experience of each member having completed at least Four (4) stations either as an Architectural Designer or Structural Designer / Proof Checking.	We understand from this clause that at least 8 stations should be covered in one contract. However as per the same clause, each member should have completed at least Four (4) Stations which means at least two contracts one from each partner. We therefore request Client to please clarify if the condition needs to be met by one contract or two contracts.	Refer Revised Eligibility criteria uploaded as Appendix-1 in Part-B of this corrigendum.
2	5.2.3_Note 3_Pg#8	Full (100%) experience for previous works of the JV/Consortium shall be considered, only if the claiming member of the JV/Consortium has at least 60% share in that JV/Consortium for the relevant referred Work, else proportionate quantum of experience of previous works up to the percentage share of participation in the subject Consortium/JV shall be considered. In case of JV/Consortium, the member whose share is less than 20% then such experience will not be considered in the evaluation. For rounding off the number of stations if a JV member, standard mathematical rounding procedure shall be followed. (in case only one of the member of past JV/Consortium is participating in this empanelment)	In case of consortium, if scope split is well defined among the team members and recorded in the MoU then it would be more appropriate to consider the respective part of the consortium member for evaluation. The current clause of dividing the whole experience of previous works based on the overall % share in the JV/ Consortium would impact consideration of suitable eligibility of individual partners. We therefore request client to please consider the Scope Split, supported by MoU signed by each JV/ Consortium members for evaluation.	Refer Revised Eligibility criteria uploaded as Appendix-1 in Part-B of this corrigendum.

